

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/077,081 02/15/2002		02/15/2002	Michael D. Jordan	B01-74	5234
40990	7590	03/16/2006		EXAMINER	
ACUSHNI	ET COME	PANY	GORDON, RAEANN		
333 BRIDGE STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O.BOX	965		AKTONII	TAI ER NUMBER	
FAIRHAVE	EN, MA	02719	3711		

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>ን</u>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/077,081	JORDAN, MICHAEL D.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
, i.e.	·	Raeann Gorden	3711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 F	ebruary 2006.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.	•				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,7-13,15-17,35-39,43-46,48-50,53</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,3,64 and 68-73</u> is/s Claim(s) <u>1,7-13,15-17,36-39,44-50,54,57,59,6</u> Claim(s) <u>35 and 43</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>36-39,44-46 and 48-50</u> is/are objected. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	are withdrawn from consideration 60,62,63 and 65 is/are allowed.	` ' '				
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	` <i>'</i>				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau	s have been received. Is have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	red.				
·			•				
Attachmen	ttel						
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail E	Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/077,081

Art Unit: 3711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

After further consideration the final office action is withdrawn.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 35 and 43 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 7,004,855. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present invention and the '855 patent claims golf balls comprising a core, a water vapor layer having a non-ionomeric material having a melt flow index of greater than 300 grams/10 minutes, and cover.

Art Unit: 3711

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 571-272-4409. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs, Fri 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on 571-272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rg March 13, 2006

> RAEANN GORDEN PRIMARY EXAMINER